CSE4509 Operating Systems Thread


Salman Shamil



United International University (UIU)
Summer 2025

Original slides by Mathias Payer and Sanidhya Kashyap [EPFL]

Concurrency



Lecture Topics

- Thread abstraction
- Multi-threading challenges
- Key concurrency terms and definitions

This slide deck covers chapters 26 and 27 in OSTEP.


[Credits: Portions of the content are adapted from slides based on the OSTEP book by Prof. Youjip Won (Hanyang University) and Prof. Mythili Vutukuru (IIT Bombay), with thanks.]

Threads: Executions context

- Threads are independent execution context
 - similar to processes
 - EXCEPT they share the same address space

Threads: Executions context

- Threads are independent execution context
 - similar to processes
 - EXCEPT they share the same address space
- We only had one thread in a process so far
 - single-threaded program
 - one Program Counter (PC)
 - one Stack Pointer (SP)



CSE4509 Operating Systems


- What happens if we want multiple threads in parallel?
 - shared address space but separate execution stream
 - is that possible with a shared stack or PC?

What happens if we want multiple threads in parallel?


- shared address space but separate execution stream
- is that possible with a shared stack or PC?
- each thread has separate stack and PC
 - leading to independent function calls
 - able to execute different parts
- code and heap segments are still shared

What happens if we want multiple threads in parallel?

- shared address space but separate execution stream
- is that possible with a shared stack or PC?
- each thread has separate stack and PC
 - leading to independent function calls
 - able to execute different parts
- · code and heap segments are still shared



- What happens if we want multiple threads in parallel?
 - shared address space but separate execution stream
 - is that possible with a shared stack or PC?
 - each thread has separate stack and PC
 - leading to independent function calls
 - able to execute different parts
 - code and heap segments are still shared



- user-level threads: scheduled by thread library in user space
- kernel-level threads: scheduled directly by the OS

Threads & Concurrency

Concurrency vs Parallelism

- Concurrency: multiple processes/threads making progress during the same time period
 - Possibly on a single core by interleaving executions
 - Better CPU utilization (e.g., when one thread is blocked on I/O, another runs)
- Parallelism: running multiple processes in parallel over multiple CPU cores
 - A single process can achieve paralellism with multiple threads

Threads & Concurrency

Concurrency vs Parallelism

- Concurrency: multiple processes/threads making progress during the same time period
 - Possibly on a single core by interleaving executions
 - Better CPU utilization (e.g., when one thread is blocked on I/O, another runs)
- Parallelism: running multiple processes in parallel over multiple CPU cores
 - A single process can achieve paralellism with multiple threads

How do they communicate?

- Processes need complicated Inter-Process Communication
- Extra memory footprint for IPC
- Threads can do it by simply using global variables (shared)
- Question: When to use threads vs processes?

Creating Threads

```
#include <stdio.h>
                                  int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
#include <stdlib.h>
                                      if (argc != 1) {
#include <pthread.h>
                                        fprintf(stderr, "usage: main\n");
                                        exit(1);
#include "common.h"
#include "common threads.h"
                                      pthread t p1, p2;
void *mythread(void *arg) {
                                      printf("main: begin\n");
    printf("%s\n", (char *) arg);
                                      Pthread create(&p1, NULL, mythread, "A");
   return NULL;
                                      Pthread_create(&p2, NULL, mythread, "B");
                                      // join waits for the threads to finish
                                      Pthread_join(p1, NULL);
                                      Pthread_join(p2, NULL);
                                      printf("main: end\n");
                                      return 0:
                                  }
```

Shared data is useful but not so simple!

```
#include <stdio.h>
                                  int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
#include <stdlib.h>
                                    if (argc != 2) {
#include <pthread.h>
                                      fprintf(stderr, \
#include "common.h"
                                      "usage: main-first <loopcount>\n");
#include "common_threads.h"
                                      exit(1):
// shared global variables
                                    max = atoi(argv[1]);
int max:
volatile int counter = 0;
                                    pthread_t p1, p2;
// ^ no caching on register
                                    printf("main: begin \
                                           [counter = %d]\n", counter);
void *mythread(void *arg) {
                                    Pthread create(&p1, NULL, mythread, "A");
  char *letter = arg;
                                    Pthread_create(&p2, NULL, mythread, "B");
  int i: // on stack
                                    // join waits for the threads to finish
         // (private per thread)
                                    Pthread join(p1, NULL);
  printf("%s: begin \
                                    Pthread_join(p2, NULL);
          [addr of i: %p]\n",
                                    printf("main: done \
          letter, &i);
                                           [counter: %d] \
  for (i = 0; i < max; i++) {
                                           [should: %d]\n",
    counter = counter + 1;
                                           counter, max*2);
    // shared: only one
                                    return 0:
  printf("%s: done\n", letter); Will the final count always be 2 \times max?
  return NULL:
```

• assembly instructions for counter = counter + $1 (in \times 86)$

```
100 mov 0x8049a1c, %eax
105 add $0x1, %eax
108 mov %eax, 0x8049a1c
```

assembly instructions for counter = counter + 1 (in x86)

```
100 mov 0x8049a1c, %eax
105 add $0x1, %eax
108 mov %eax, 0x8049a1c
```

[Critical Section] consider a context switch after 'add'.

			(aft	(after instruction)	
os	Thread 1	Thread 2	PC	eax	counter
	before critical sec	tion	100	0	50
	mov 8049a1c,%ea	ax	105	50	50
	add \$0x1,%eax		108	51	50

9 / 10

• assembly instructions for counter = counter + 1 (in \times 86)

```
100 mov 0x8049a1c, %eax
105 add $0x1, %eax
108 mov %eax, 0x8049a1c
```

			(after instruction)		
os	Thread 1	Thread 2	PC	eax	counter
	before critical section		100	0	50
	mov 8049a1c,%eax		105	50	50
	add \$0×1,%eax		108	51	50
interrupt					
save T1					
restore T2			100	0	50

• assembly instructions for counter = counter + 1 (in \times 86)

```
100 mov 0x8049a1c, %eax
105 add $0x1, %eax
108 mov %eax, 0x8049a1c
```

			(after instruction)		
os	Thread 1	Thread 2	PC	eax	counter
	before critical section		100	0	50
	mov 8049a1c,%eax		105	50	50
	add \$0×1,%ea×		108	51	50
interrupt					
save T1					
restore T2			100	0	50
		mov 8049a1c,%eax	105	50	50
		add \$0x1,%eax	108	51	50
		mov %eax,8049a1c	113	51	51

• assembly instructions for counter = counter + 1 (in \times 86)

```
100 mov 0x8049a1c, %eax
105 add $0x1, %eax
108 mov %eax, 0x8049a1c
```

			(after instruction)		
OS	Thread 1	Thread 2	PC	eax	counter
	before critical section		100	0	50
	mov 8049a1c,%eax		105	50	50
	add \$0×1,%ea×		108	51	50
interrupt					
save T1					
restore T2			100	0	50
		mov 8049a1c,%eax	105	50	50
		add \$0x1,%eax	108	51	50
		mov %eax,8049a1c	113	51	51
interrupt					
save T2					
restore T1			108	51	51

assembly instructions for counter = counter + 1 (in x86)

```
100 mov 0x8049a1c, %eax
105 add $0x1, %eax
108 mov %eax, 0x8049a1c
```

			(after instruction)		
OS	Thread 1	Thread 2	PC	eax	counter
	before critical section		100	0	50
	mov 8049a1c,%eax		105	50	50
	add \$0×1,%ea×		108	51	50
interrupt					
save T1					
restore T2			100	0	50
		mov 8049a1c,%eax	105	50	50
		add \$0x1,%eax	108	51	50
		mov %eax,8049a1c	113	51	51
interrupt					
save T2					
restore T1			108	51	51
	mov %eax,8049a1c		113	51	51

Concurrency Terms

Race Condition

Concurrent execution of threads leading to different results depending on the order of execution. Such programs are indeterminate, producing different outputs across runs.

Concurrency Terms

Race Condition

Concurrent execution of threads leading to different results depending on the order of execution. Such programs are *indeterminate*, producing different outputs across runs.

Critical Section

Portion of code resulting in a race condition, usually by accessing a *shared* resource (e.g., a variable or data structure).

Concurrency Terms

Race Condition

Concurrent execution of threads leading to different results depending on the order of execution. Such programs are *indeterminate*, producing different outputs across runs.

Critical Section

Portion of code resulting in a race condition, usually by accessing a *shared* resource (e.g., a variable or data structure).

Mutual Exclusion

Guarantees a single thread executes a critical section at a time, preventing race conditions. [Atomicity]

Next: We need to design synchronization primitives for **mutex**.